

## Selection, Design, and Construction of a Multilevel Groundwater Monitoring System



Thanks to: John Dougherty, CDM Smith

### What is a Multilevel Groundwater Monitoring System?



**Multilevel Well** 





### Multilevel Systems

#### Major systems are on the market

- Model 401 Waterloo Multilevel System (Solinst)
- Westbay (Schlumberger)
- Water FLUTe<sup>™</sup> (Flexible Liner Underground Technologies, Ltd. Co)
- Solinst Continuous Multichannel Technology (CMT) system
- All are good quality and widely used

#### Other systems not covered in this presentation

BESST Barcad and ZIST



### Why Use a Multilevel System?

- The decision to use a multilevel system is driven by the site conceptual model that includes elements, such as:
  - Project objectives
    - -Need to characterize complex site conditions
  - Hydrogeology
    - -Depth to water
    - —Aquifer Thickness
  - Stratigraphy
  - Vertical and lateral extent of groundwater contamination



### Site Characterization Using Multilevel Well Data



### Comparison of Vertical Head at Wells EPA-1 and EPA-2





# Selection of a Multilevel Groundwater Monitoring System



### **Selection Considerations**

- Sustainability
- Equipment downhole
- Surface support equipment
- Water level monitoring
- Groundwater sampling
- Post installation problems
- Operations and maintenance
- Decommissioning

- Driller support (subcontractor)
- ◆ Layout area
- Construction procedure
- Installation issues
- Surface completion
- Development



### Advantages of a Multilevel System

- Depth discreet water quality and water level elevation data
- Reduced footprint/increased sustainability
  - » Install one well with many ports versus multiple borings and wells
- Reduced drilling costs/increased sustainability
  - » One borehole
  - » Reduced investigation derived waste (IDW)
  - » Deal with difficult drilling conditions one time
- Reduced sampling costs relative to the same number of conventional wells



### Disadvantages and Assumptions of a Multilevel System

### Disadvantages

- » Requires specialized support equipment and training
  - > Waterloo and FLUTe<sup>™</sup>: gas drive pump/bladder pump
  - > Westbay: wire line tool
- » Limited use as observation wells during aquifer testing

### Assumptions

- » All systems assume that ambient groundwater flow maintains representative groundwater at the sampling port
- » Stakeholders must agree



### Waterloo System

Installation in an open borehole



Installation in a well







### Westbay System





### FLUTe™











# Design of a Multilevel Groundwater Monitoring System



### **Design Considerations**

### A good multilevel system design is based on:

- » Good site conceptual model
- » Clear objectives
- » Vertical and lateral hydrostratigraphic data
- » Groundwater quality data

### Overburden:

» Lithologic and groundwater sampling during borehole drilling using direct push, sonic drilling, or hollow stem auger

### Bedrock:

- » Rock core, air rotary, casing advance
- » Borehole geophysical logging
- » Packer testing or fluid sampler



### **Design Considerations**

- Optimum borehole or well diameter
- Installation in open borehole or well in bedrock
- Installation in unconsolidated formation
  - » Direct burial
  - » Completion inside well
- Number of ports
- Port interval length
- Maximum depth of installation
- Packer system



#### **Design Using Packer Testing and Borehole Geophysics**





## **Construction: Waterloo System**



### Waterloo System Advantages

- Gas drive sampling (double valve or bladder pump)
- Practical to obtain large sample volumes (important at Superfund sites)
- Can run a "low-flow" type method and collect water quality parameters
- Minimizes IDW
- Proven technology



### Waterloo System Disadvantages

- Relatively complicated installation process
- Requires significant work area
  - » Equipment must be laid out on ground
- Transducers and pumps are downhole
  - Cannot be recovered in the event they fail (unless removable packers are used)
- Water level readings: can take instantaneous readings but can't use a data logger.
- Can't do slug tests
- Tubing vulnerable to kinks during installation
  - » Kinks only discovered during final testing before packer inflation



## Waterloo System Layout





### Waterloo Components Going Downhole





### Pump, Transducer and Port Installation





## Waterloo Sampling







## **Construction: Westbay System**



### Westbay System Advantages

- Relatively simple installation
- Requires relatively small work space
- Transducer and sampler are on a wire line
- Joints are pressure tested during installation
- Packers are inflated with water to a specific pressure
- Transducers can be installed in each port to monitor water levels
- Can be converted into a monitoring well open to one zone for long term water level monitoring using transducers and slug testing
- Minimizes IDW
- Long term maintenance requirements are low
- Proven technology



### Westbay System Disadvantages

- Requires specialized sampling equipment (subcontractor)
- Instrumentation for pumping test very complicated/expensive
- Interval cannot be purged before sampling
- Maximum volume per trip is 1 liter
- Not always possible to obtain reliable water quality data especially dissolved oxygen (DO)
- Technology is static



### Westbay Equipment Layout





### Westbay Pumping (gray) and Measurement Port





### Packer Installation and Pressure Testing





### Westbay Sampling Equipment







## **Construction: FLUTe System**



### FLUTe<sup>™</sup> System Advantages

- Liner seals entire borehole wall
- Relatively simple installation process
- Relatively small work area
- Gas drive sampling
- Practical to obtain large sample volumes
- Can run a "low-flow" type method and collect water quality parameters
- Minimizes IDW
- Innovative company
- Proven technology



### FLUTe<sup>™</sup> System Disadvantages

- Pumps are downhole
  - » Transducers can be installed downhole or at the surface
- Fabric liner can tear on sharp bedrock borehole wall
- Water must be purged from gas lines to obtain current water level readings
- The water level inside the liner must be checked and maintained above the static head in the formation
- Can't conduct slug tests
- Transducers can be used for long term water level monitoring but implementation is complex



### Water FLUTe<sup>™</sup> and Blank Liner







### **FLUTe<sup>™</sup>** Installation





### FLUTe<sup>™</sup> Installation







### Water FLUTe<sup>™</sup> Sampling Procedure





# Construction: CMT Continuous Multi-Channel System



### **CMT Advantages**

- Inexpensive one piece construction
- Standard screen/seal well construction
- No packers needed
- Can be installed in rough/collapsing boreholes
- Up to 7 ports per boring
- Very flexible port/screen length
- Samples collected by peristaltic or inertial pumps



### CMT Disadvantages

- One piece construction challenging for deep installations
- Very small port size
- Difficult to develop/potential for clogging
- Very small channel size
- Limited ability to instrument
- Requires inertial pump if water table is deep
- Can be difficult to obtain large volume samples



### **CMT** Construction





## Site Characterization Using Multilevel Well Data



### Site Characterization Using Multilevel Well Data





### Comparison of Vertical Head at Wells EPA-1 and EPA-2





### Takeaways

- Select a multilevel groundwater sampling system based on:
  - » Project objectives
  - » Hydrogeologic conceptual model
  - » Site conditions
- Design of a multilevel system requires significant hydrogeologic and groundwater quality data collection and analysis
- Construction of multilevel systems is a team effort requiring input from the site manager, vendor, consultant, and drilling subcontractor
- When properly selected, designed, and constructed multilevel systems provide a cost effective alternative to conventional monitoring wells.



### Questions?





### Disclaimer

- Information presented in this presentation represents the views of the author(s)/presenter(s) and has not received formal U.S. EPA peer review.
- This information does not necessarily reflect the views of U.S. EPA, and no official endorsement should be inferred.
- The information is not intended, nor can it be relied upon, to create any rights enforceable by any party in litigation with the United States or any other party.
- Use or mention of trade names does not constitute an endorsement or recommendation for use.

